

SOVEREIGN WEALTH FUNDS IN THEORY AND PRACTICE



JAN ANDER, PETR TEPLÝ

KAROLINUM

Sovereign wealth funds in theory and practice

Jan Ander
Petr Teplý

Reviewed by:

Prof. Ing. Jaroslav Daňhel, CSc.

Prof. RNDr. Bohuslav Sekerka, CSc.

Published by Charles University in Prague, Karolinum Press

Layout by Jan Šerých

Typeset by Karolinum Press

First English edition

© Charles University in Prague - Karolinum Press, 2014

Text © Jan Ander, Petr Teplý, 2014

Translation © Slavěna Brownová, 2014

The monograph has been published with the support of the Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague, of the Czech Science Foundation (project under No. GACR 14-02108S - The nexus between sovereign and bank crises), and of University of Economics in Prague (project under No. VŠE IP 100040).

ISBN 978-80-246-2420-4

ISBN 978-80-246-2442-6 (online : pdf)



Univerzita Karlova v Praze
Nakladatelství Karolinum 2015

www.karolinum.cz
ebooks@karolinum.cz

CONTENTS

LIST OF PICTURES	7
LIST OF TABLES.....	9
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	10
1. THEORETICAL PART	13
1.1 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	13
1.2 DEFINITION OF STATE SOVEREIGN FUNDS	15
1.3 RISE, DEVELOPMENT AND ROLE OF SWFs	17
1.3.1 Santiago Principles	19
1.3.2 Individual objectives of funds	19
1.4 TYPES OF SWFs BASED ON SOURCES OF CAPITAL ACCUMULATION	20
1.5 TYPES OF SWFs BASED ON INVESTMENT PURPOSES	21
1.5.1 Basic profile of SWFs	21
1.5.2 Difference between SWFs and pension funds	22
1.6 POSITION OF SFWs WITHIN GLOBAL INVESTORS' PORTFOLIO	23
1.7 INVESTMENT STRATEGY.....	23
1.8 INVESTMENT TRENDS	26
1.9 MACROECONOMIC IMPACT OF SWFs ON HOME AND HOST COUNTRIES.....	29
1.9.1 Impact on changes in currency rates, prices of assets and commodities	30

1.9.2	Impact and effect of investment decisions of SWFs on their performance -----	31
1.10	OVERVIEW OF SELECTED SWFs -----	32
1.10.1	Analysis of Government Pension Fund (GPF) -----	32
1.10.2	Abu Dhabi Investment Authority (ADIA) Fund -----	39
1.10.3	China Investment Corporation (CIC) Fund -----	41
1.10.4	Fundo Soberano do Brasil (FSB) -----	42
1.11	OVERVIEW OF RECENT SWFs TRANSACTIONS -----	42
2.	EMPIRICAL PART -----	47
2.1	INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS AND METHODOLOGY -----	48
2.2	ANALYSIS OF VOLUME OF SWFs' ASSETS -----	49
2.3	ANALYSIS OF SWFs' TRANSPARENCY -----	52
2.4	INDICES OF SWFs' TRANSPARENCY -----	53
2.4.1	The Peterson Institute's Index of Transparency -----	53
2.4.2	Linaburg-Maduell Index of Transparency (LMTI) -----	53
2.5	ANALYSIS OF SWFs FROM THE INSTITUTIONAL VIEW -----	55
2.6	ANALYSIS OF DEVELOPMENT OF SWFs' ASSETS IN THE 2008–2010 PERIOD -----	56
2.7	MACROECONOMIC IMPACT AND POTENTIAL RISKS OF SWFs -----	63
2.7.1	Macroeconomic impacts -----	63
2.7.2	A case study: Merger Tinto – BHP Billiton -----	67
2.8	ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF FUNDS ON THE INCREASE OF NATIONAL WEALTH -----	68
2.8.1	SWFs as saving institutions -----	68
2.8.2	Profitability of SWF investments: public versus private sector -----	69
2.8.3	Political context -----	71
2.8.4	Tax policy -----	71
2.8.5	Value of a fund and impact on wealth growth -----	73
2.8.6	Measuring efficiency of funds -----	75
2.9	SWFs AND GLOBAL DISBALANCES -----	79
2.10	THEORETICAL EXAMPLE: POSSIBILITY OF SETTING UP A SWF IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC -----	80
2.10.1	Economic crisis – good chance to introduce changes -----	83
2.10.2	Theoretical example of setting up a SWF in the Czech Republic -----	84
2.10.3	Revenues of a SWF in the Czech Republic -----	88
3.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY -----	111
4.	BIBLIOGRAPHY -----	113
5.	APPENDICES -----	117
	INDEX -----	131

LIST OF PICTURES

- Picture 1** High exposure in foreign currency and no liabilities
- Picture 2** Tolerance of high investment risks and long-term investment period
- Picture 3** Number of SWFs set up between 1953 and 2009
- Picture 4** Financial intermediators according to assets under management as of December 31, 2012
- Picture 5** Index of investment preference into industries and spheres as of December 31, 2009
- Picture 6** Index of investment preference into industries and spheres as of December 31, 2010
- Picture 7** Volume of over-boarder SWF transactions performed between 1995–2009
- Picture 8** Investments according to stocks interest
- Picture 9** SWF Investments according to target states
- Picture 10** SWF investments according to regions
- Picture 11** Index of cumulative abnormal revenue
- Picture 12** Classification of GPF revenues based on type of investments
- Picture 13** Scheme of corporate governance NBIM
- Picture 14** Expected real revenues of GPF versus structural budget deficit of Norway
- Picture 15** Relation of ADIA fund and state finances of Abu Dhabi Emirates
- Picture 16** Primary investments of CIC (as of December 31, 2007)
- Picture 17** Overview of SWFs based on transactions performed in first half of 2010 (USD billion)
- Picture 18** Size and concentration of SWFs over the world
- Picture 19** Development and estimated volume of SWF assets including a ratio between commodities and non-commodities in 2000–2013
- Picture 20** SWFs investments based on countries from 1986 to 2012
- Picture 21** Strategy and transparency of SWFs
- Picture 22** Development of performed SWF transactions based on a number and value of capital
- Picture 23** Percentage change of stocks value from the date of investment till the end of 2009
- Picture 24** Investment trends of SWFs in the period from 2003 till the first half of 2010
- Picture 25** Value of SWFs' investments based on sectors between 2007 and 2009
- Picture 26** Value of SWFs' investments based on sectors between the first half of 2009 and the first half of 2010
- Picture 27** Development of surpluses of the balance of payments – states with a democratic regime and others

- Picture 28** Transparency of funds – states with a democratic regime and others
- Picture 29** Oil price prediction until 2035
- Picture 30** Results of the 4-factor analysis of SWF benefits
- Picture 31** Development of volume of GPF assets in thousands NOK
- Picture 32** Value of the Temasek fund portfolio (billion USD)
- Picture 33** Investment portfolio of Temasek
- Picture 34** Benchmarking of efficiency of SWF and S&P 500 within 2008–2010
- Picture 35** Portfolio profile of SWF and investment funds in average numbers
- Picture 36** Accumulated revenue of GPF in CCY/* (since set-up)
- Picture 37** Excess Return GPF in % (quarterly since set-up)
- Picture 38** Global current account imbalances
- Picture 39** Political governance and motivation of politicians
- Picture 40** Profit and loss account of ČKA between 2001 and 2007
- Picture 41** International Reserves of ČNB between January 1, 1994 and December 31, 2012
- Picture 42** Financial results of ČEZ in 2007–2010
- Picture 43** Financial results of ČEPRO in 2007–2010
- Picture 44** Financial results of MERO in 2007–2010
- Picture 45** Financial results of Letiště Praha, a.s., in 2007–2010
- Picture 46** Financial results of České aerolinie, a.s., in 2007–2010
- Picture 47** Financial results of ČEB in 2007–2010
- Picture 48** Financial results of EGAP in 2007–2010
- Picture 49** Financial results of ČMZRB in 2007–2010
- Picture 50** Model of financing a SWF in ČR (first year)
- Picture 51** Basic alternative 2005 and 2010, balance % of GDP annually

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1** Basic profile of types of funds
- Table 2** The largest stock held by GPF as of December 31, 2012
- Table 3** The largest bond interests held by GPF as of December 31, 2012
- Table 4** State budget and GPF – main chapters (in bill. NOK)
- Table 5** Overview of investment portfolio (according to assets groups)
- Table 6** Overview of investment portfolio according to regions)
- Table 7** Transaction database Monitor FEEM SWF
- Table 8** TOP 10 largest SWF transactions in 2010 (based on volume of assets)
- Table 9** Volume of SWF assets based on countries and regions as of December 31, 2012
- Table 10** LMTI principles
- Table 11** Classification of SWFs based on availability of information
- Table 12** Financial help to key world banks in the 2007–2009 period
- Table 13** The 4-factor model of evaluation – University of Chicago
- Table 14** Income from the sale of property and shares since the beginning of transformation in 1991–2010 (in CZK thousands)
- Table 15** Overview of large-scale privatization account as of December 31, 2010 (in CZK thousands)
- Table 16** Theoretical basic capital of the state SWF as of December 31, 2010

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CalPERS	Californian Public Employee's Retirement System
CDM	Consensus Demand Meter
CFR	Council on Foreign Relations
ČNB	Czech National Bank
DECS	Debt Exchangeable for Common Stock
DJIA	Dow-Jones Index Average
EIA	Energy Information Administration
ER	Excess Return
ESA 95	European System of National and Regional Accounts
FED	Federal Reserve System
FEEM	Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
GAPP	Generally Accepted Principles and Practices
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GLC	Government Linked Company
IFSL	International Financial Services London
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IMFC	International Monetary and Finance Committee
IPO	Initial Public Offering
LMTI	Linaburg Maduell Transparency Index
MSCI	Morgan Stanley Capital Index
NBIM	Norges Bank Investment Management
NOK	Norwegian Krone
OECD	Organization of Economic Cooperation
PAYG	Pay as you go
PES	Advisory Board of the Czech Minister of Finance
PI	Petersson Institute
PPRF	Public Pension Reserve Fund
ROA	Return on Assets
ROE	Return on Equity
S&P 500	Standard and Poor's Index
SEA	Sovereign External Assets
SEC	Security and Exchange Commission
SPF	Sovereign Pension Fund
SSRF	Social Security Reserve Fund
SWE	Sovereign Wealth Enterprise
SWF	Sovereign Wealth Fund
TARP	Trouble Asset Relief Program
TCUK	The City UK
TSR	Total Shareholder Return
UAE	United Arab Emirates
WA	Wealth Added Framework

INTRODUCTION

Sovereign Wealth Funds (hereafter referred as “SWFs”) have been currently becoming a more and more important part of the international financial system. These institutions administrated USD 6.1 trillion under their asset management as of the end of 2013. SWFs are the funds owned by a state, set up for various macroeconomic purposes. Usually, they are financed through the transfer of foreign currency assets which are mainly long-term investments abroad. Even though their significance has been growing especially in the last years, SWFs do not represent a new phenomena and some of the funds from Kuwait, Abu Dhabi and Singapore have been existing for tens of years. The high prices of oil and other commodities, financial globalization and a permanent global disharmony result in a quick accumulation of foreign currency assets, especially in those states exporting oil and in some Asian countries. The growing number and size of SWFs in those countries and their growing role in international markets are a secondary effect.

In recent years people significantly changed their view on SWFs as investors. While these funds were regarded as unsought investors before the global crisis, in 2008 they were enabled to enter the largest American banks. This fundamental turnaround had to do with the need for failing financial institutions to gain financial means and in those days SWFs were the only ones which were willing to take over high risks and to provide those means. SWFs can be officially regarded as standard players in the world financial market since 2008, when they accepted the principles of its functioning (the so called Santiago Principles). By setting up a SWF, their home countries gain many economic and financial advantages, for instance easier depositing of revenues and easier inter-generation transfer of revenues from non-renewable resources. From the point of view of international financial markets, these state investment funds can more easily attain better allocation of revenues from commodities surpluses in various countries and, at the same time, they can increase the liquidity of the market even at times of a world financial crisis. The increasing impact of SWFs also raises a number of questions, eg. about their transparency, size and investment strategies.

The aim of our publication is to provide a reader with some information on SWFs as a growing phenomenon in the global financial market including all macroeconomic and microeconomic connections. In this work¹, we analyze the establishment, development, current and future tasks of SWFs. We also discuss relevant basic concepts of this sphere because the issue of SWFs is not, in fact, covered in the Czech literature at all. The publication is divided into three main chapters: theoretical part, empirical part and concluding remarks.

1 An earlier version of this work was published in Czech in Karolinum Press in the year of 2011.

In the first chapter we focus on SWFs from the theoretical point of view, we present an overview of literature, explain basic concepts, SWF's investment strategies, pending trends and key transactions. In the second chapter we deal with SWFs from the empirical point of view. We analyze the development of these funds in recent years (including their impact on economy), two indices of SWFs' transparency and main SWFs' macroeconomic impact and potential risks. In this chapter we also discuss the theoretical possibility of setting up a SWF in the Czech Republic. In the third chapter we summarize this work and present our main conclusions and recommendations. We believe that this publication will be useful, not only for specialists, but also for those readers who want to get acquainted with this issue.

Prague, June 2014
Jan Ander
Petr Teplý

1.

THEORETICAL PART

In this first chapter we focus on SWFs from the theoretical point of view. We provide theoretical background needed for the following empirical analysis. We present an overview of literature, explain basic concepts and SWF's investment strategies. Finally, we discuss pending trends and analyse key transactions.

1.1 LITERATURE REVIEW

Despite the fact that SWFs have been running on the market for several tens of years, this issue is not much covered in the Czech or foreign literature, even though recently there have been more and more new publications and analyses on this issue. One of the first more complete studies on SWFs was done by the McKinsey Global Institute (Farrel et al., 2007), in which these state investment funds were mentioned in the context of their growing force and impact resulting from increasing oil prices which created a significant source of their revenues. Other studies and analyses are developed by renowned international institutions, for example the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the Morgan Stanley bank, specialized institutions like the Sovereign Wealth Fund Institute in Las Vegas, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM) under the University of Turino, Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), Peterson Institute or directly by universities (University of Washington, University of Michigan, University of